N-226,742 8-333,011

APR 29 1966

CIA Drapes

'Privilege'

Cloak on Agent

By STEPHEN S. ROSENFELD
Los Angeles Times-Weshington Post Service

BALTIMORE—An anticlimactic confrontation took place in Federal Court yesterday between an accused Soviet spy and an admitted operative of the Central Intelligence Agency.

For three hours the supposed spy, Estonian emigree Ecrik Heine, dourly watched his two lawyers try to get information from the man who so labeled him, fellow emigree Yuri Raus.

But at each probe, Raus' battery of five attorneys dropped around him a protective claim of "privilege" based on two contentions: that in calling Heine, a Soviet spy he acted in his scope as a CIA agent and that further disclosures would compromise American in cligence.

Pointless To Go On

Heine's lawyers finally said it was pointless to go on. Chief Judge Roszel C. Thomsen continued the slander case to May 13 for final arguments on a Raus motion to dismiss Heine's \$110,000 suit.

Heine, 46, now a Canadian citizen, and Raus, 39, a Washington highway engineer, pretended not to notice each other in court.

As the day began, Judge Thomsen made clear his wish to get as many facts on record as security would allow.

The defense, summarizing its previous privilege claims, argued that a ruling laggingt Raus, who is "prevented by the hature of his job from defending him kelf," would expose "every agent of the UfA through the world to the peril" of lawsuits.

Also submitted was a statement by CIA Director Adm. William F. Raborn, who said he had "personally determined" that further disclosures by Raus would compromise intelligence sources and methods.

Raus revealed under questioning that his 1965 Army Reserve pay of \$1,000 included a sum paid while he attended an "intelligence school." CIA counsel added that Raus "was paid directly or indirectly" for CIA service "during the times in question"—that is, in 1963

Approved For Release 2000/08/28 HENA ROP 75-00001R000400190068-0

Estonian emigre circles.